



Democratic Support

Plymouth City Council
Ballard House
West Hoe Road
Plymouth PL1 3BJ

Please ask for Helen Wright, Democratic
Support Officer
T 01752 304022
E helen.wright@plymouth.gov.uk
www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy
Published 26 February 2016

#coopscrutiny

Co-operative Scrutiny Board – EU Referendum Review Supplement Pack

Wednesday 2 March 2016
4pm
Council House, Plymouth

Members:

Councillor James, Chair

Councillor Mrs Aspinall, Vice Chair

Councillors Mrs Beer, Bowie, Mrs Bowyer, Sam Davey, Jordan, Murphy, Ricketts, Storer and Kate Taylor.

Please find attached additional information relating to agenda item 4c for your consideration.

Tracey Lee

Chief Executive

Co-operative Scrutiny Board

Agenda

4c. Supporting Information

(Pages 1 - 16)

EU MEMBERSHIP

Co-operative Scrutiny Board Briefing



I. How leaving or remaining in the EU will impact on UK influence and security both in Europe and Globally

The EU is not a military organisation; it was founded as a trading-bloc and has no dedicated armed forces. Most Member States retain their own militaries, and the foreign policies of these Member States differ substantially. Europe has developed a Common Defence and Security Policy (CDSP) which enables the EU to participate and lead on peacekeeping operations and missions to strengthen international security.¹ Its aim has been stated as to support and add value to NATO, not replace it.²

UK influence on European security is difficult to reliably quantify. It is widely acknowledged that European intelligence agencies share intelligence more readily in the post 9/11 world, but it is also a fact that the UK shares close intelligence ties to the “Five Eyes” group – Australia, Canada, New Zealand, United States and the UK.

It is reasonable to assume that Britain’s membership, or lack thereof, of the European Union would not impact Britain’s membership of NATO or seat on the UN Security Council. It is not possible to accurately assess whether and to what extent intelligence sharing would cease if the UK left the EU and what the effects of this would be. No evidence has been brought forward from organisations from Plymouth regarding this.

II. EU regulation of products and markets

The effects of regulation and so-called ‘red-tape’ are strongly contested and difficult to monetise. Open Europe states that according to UK Government Impact Assessments, the top 100 EU rules cost the UK £33.3bn per annum but also provide an annual benefit of £58.6bn (the latter being contested by Open Europe).³ The Confederation of British Industry (CBI) has stated in their report ‘Our global future: the business vision for a reformed EU’ that, “Despite frustrations with a number of specific pieces of legislation, the majority of CBI members continue to believe that the benefits of EU membership through enhanced market access and competitiveness outweigh the costs of regulation. 71% of CBI member companies reported that, on balance, the UK’s membership of the EU has had a positive impact on their business – with over half (52%) saying that they had directly benefitted from the introduction of common standards. Only 15% suggested this had had a negative impact.”⁴

Without evidence from local stakeholders it is impossible to determine whether local businesses feel that EU regulations have a negative or positive impact on their business.

¹ <http://www.eeas.europa.eu/csdp/>

² <http://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN06771/SN06771.pdf>

³ <http://openeurope.org.uk/intelligence/britain-and-the-eu/top-100-eu-rules-cost-britain-33-3bn/>

⁴ <http://news.cbi.org.uk/reports/our-global-future/>

III. Economic costs and benefits of membership on the City of Plymouth

I. Fiscal Impacts:

It is almost impossible to quantify the advantages and disadvantages in monetary terms. However, it is possible to present the monetary inflows and outflows. The UK contributes a gross payment to the EU. The UK receives the UK rebate, public sector receipts (e.g. Agricultural Guarantee Fund, ESIF funding) and other payments (e.g. rebate for school fruit programme).

Figure I represents the total annual sums that the UK pays to the European Union, and the total sums that it receives from the EU. It is clear to see from this graph that the United Kingdom is a net contributor. Since 2000, the UK has paid the EU more than €187 billion; it has received just over €104 billion back over the same time period⁵. On an annual basis, this has been just over 0.4% of GDP – around a quarter of what the UK spends on the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, and less than an eighth of the UK's defence spend⁶. See Appendix I for a breakdown of these payments and OBR forecasts.




Figure I
Source: Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) - Economic and Fiscal Outlook, 2015

Once all monetary costs and benefits are accounted for, the EU remains a net cost to the UK economy. Since 2000, the UK's membership of the European Union has come at a net cost of more around €0.34 billion.

Unfortunately, it is not possible to extrapolate this data down to a local level as most of it is only available at national or regional level. For example, European Social Fund allocations are only available at a regional level and there is no further project data available publicly. Similarly we have not been able to obtain local data for other European funds such as INTERREG or FP 7 (Europe's research programme).

⁵ European Commission, EU Expenditure and Revenue 2015

⁶ CBI, 'Our Global Futures – Factsheet 2', 2015

We do, however, have data on ERDF investments in the last programme round (2007-2013) for the South West. From this data it was possible to identify projects that were primarily based in Plymouth (Figure 2) and as such have benefitted Plymouth.

Further to this, ERDF has funded several programmes which targeted the whole South West region, meaning that while not exclusively aimed at Plymouth or located in Plymouth, Plymouth businesses were able to participate and benefit from these projects. The investments presented in figure 3 show these regional-wide ERDF funded programmes. Unfortunately, without project data from each project it is impossible to identify to what extent Plymouth's businesses have benefitted from these programmes.

Figure 2. Total ERDF Structural Investment in Plymouth 2007 – 2013






Figure 3. Additional ERDF Structural Investment with benefits to Plymouth 2007 – 2013



2. Trade

The UK has traditionally had strong trade links with the EU. Despite changes in the composition of the global economy, the EU in 2015 accounted for 43.9% of UK exports of goods and services, and 53.4% of UK imports of goods and services⁷. As the Eurozone economy continues to stagnate, the proportion of British trade accounted for by the rest of the EU is falling, and non-European markets are becoming more important for British exporters.⁸

Faster growth in the value of UK imports compared to exports with the EU has resulted in the UK’s overall trade balance with the EU deteriorating (value of imports exceeding exports), with the trade deficit widening notably, reaching £61.6 billion in 2014 compared with £11.2 billion in 1999.



Source: Office for National Statistics (ONS), 2015

Regionally, within the South West, dependency on trade with EU countries appears to be higher than nationally: 59.2% of all South West exports are to the EU (£8.2bn out of £13.8bn), compared with 45% nationally; 40% of SW imports are from the EU (£7.6bn out of £11.5bn).

⁷ Source: UK Regional Trade Statistics, HMRC 2015

⁸ Commission for European Reform, 2014:

https://www.cer.org.uk/sites/default/files/smc_final_report_june2014.pdf

South West Exports			
	2014	2013	2012
EU	£8,180m	£8,015m	£7,465m
EU (%)	59.2%	58.6%	58.6%
Non-EU	£5,631m	£5,662m	£5,268m
Non-EU (%)	40.8%	41.4%	41.4%
South West Imports			
	2014	2013	2012
EU	£7,626m	£7,750m	£7,093m
EU (%)	39.9%	39.9%	39.5%
Non-EU	£11,489m	£11,683m	£10,866m
Non-EU (%)	60.1%	60.1%	60.5%

Source: Regional Trade Statistics, HMRC 2015

IV. Economic effects of the free movement of people on the economy

As one of the basic freedoms of the EU Single Market, the free movement of people allows UK firms to recruit employees with specialised skill sets easily from across the EU, and also allows 1.8m British people to live, work, and retire freely elsewhere in the EU. 63% of CBI members stated that the free movement of labour within the EU had been beneficial to their businesses and had helped them close high-level skills gaps.⁹

In Plymouth, 2.7% of the workday population are from the EU, while 4% are from outside of the EU. It is worth noting, however, that Plymouth has a particularly high make-up of student population, with over 28,000 students across three universities, contributing 835 EU students and 1,720 non-EU students (over 16% of the immigrant population in Plymouth).

In terms of the immigration effects on public finances, existing research generally shows migrants' net fiscal contribution to be small but positive. Moreover, Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR, 2013) forecasts suggest that higher net migration (260k+) would


⁹ <http://news.cbi.org.uk/reports/our-global-future/>

reduce pressure on government debt over the next 50 years by delaying some of the fiscal challenges of an ageing population. These findings are strongly contested.

V. The extent to which EU membership attracts and maintains inward investment

In their latest UK attractiveness survey, Ernst and Young have highlighted that the UK remains the 4th most attractive Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) location in the world, after US, China and India and before any other European country.¹⁰

The European Union is an important source of FDI for the British economy. In 2014, the European Union accounted for 46% of the United Kingdom's stock of inward foreign direct investment. However, inflows of foreign direct investment by EU countries have been slowing over recent years and more investment has been flowing in from non-EU countries.



In Plymouth, of the largest 20 companies by sales turnover, registered in Plymouth (excluding education and government), 50% are owned in the UK and 50% are foreign owned. Of these half are owned by an EU company and the other half are subsidiaries of multinational US or Japanese firms.

It is generally assumed that access to the single market is important for FDI decisions¹¹ and indeed 72% of surveyed investors in the Ernst and Young report stated that access to the European market was an important part of the UK's attractiveness. Furthermore,


¹⁰ <http://www.ey.com/UK/en/Issues/Business-environment/2015-UK-attractiveness-survey>

¹¹ <http://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN06730/SN06730.pdf>

several foreign government leaders have praised the UK as their preferred entry point to Europe and the European market¹².

The extent to which BREXIT will influence future FDI decisions is unclear and assessments regarding this are contested.¹³ Already the prospects of an EU referendum seem to have an impact on FDI, with 31% of investors stating they were likely to reduce or put on hold any investments before the EU referendum.¹⁴ When asked whether leaving the EU (while still maintaining access to the Single Market) would affect the UK's attractiveness, 31% believed the UK would become less attractive as a FDI destination (22% believed it would be more attractive).¹⁵

If the UK did vote to leave the EU but retained access to the single market on similar terms as today but with no political links to the EU, would this make the UK more or less attractive as an FDI location, or the same as today?



Source: EY 2015 UK attractiveness survey

¹² http://www.uk.emb-japan.go.jp/en/japanUK/governmental/130711_UKEU.html
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-33647154>
<http://www.cityam.com/228670/eu-referendum-indian-prime-minister-narendra-modi-says-uk-is-indias-entry-point-into-the-eu>

¹³ <http://assets.woodford.in/the-economic-impact-of-Brexit.pdf>

¹⁴ <http://www.ey.com/UK/en/Issues/Business-environment/2015-UK-attractiveness-survey>

¹⁵ <http://www.ey.com/UK/en/Issues/Business-environment/2015-UK-attractiveness-survey>

Appendix:

1. Transactions with the European Union in £ billion, financial year							
	£ billion						
	Outturn 2014-15	Forecast					
		2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Expenditure transfers to EU institutions:							
GNI based contribution	13.6	13.8	13.7	12.8	13.5	14.2	14.7
<i>of which, adjustments assumed in latest forecast:¹</i>							
<i>in respect of 2015</i>	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	-
<i>in respect of 2014</i>	-	0.3	-	-	-	-	-
<i>in respect of earlier years</i>	1.6	0.0	-	-	-	-	-
VAT payments to the EU ²	2.3	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.2
<i>of which, adjustments assumed in latest forecast:</i>							
<i>in respect of 2015</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>in respect of 2014</i>	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>in respect of earlier years</i>	-0.1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-
UK abatement	-4.8	-4.2	-5.0	-5.3	-4.9	-5.2	-5.5
<i>of which, adjustments assumed in latest forecast:¹</i>							
<i>in respect of 2015</i>	-	-	-	-0.1	-	-	-
<i>in respect of 2014</i>	-	0.7	-0.3	-	-	-	-
<i>in respect of earlier years</i>	-0.5	-0.9	-0.2	-	-	-	-
Receipts from the EU to cover the costs of collecting Traditional Own Resources ³	-0.8	-0.7	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.7
Total expenditure transfers included in AME, TME and PSNB⁴	10.4	11.7	10.7	9.7	10.9	11.4	11.7
Traditional Own Resources ³	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.5
Public sector receipts from the EU ⁵	-4.6	-4.1	-4.2	-4.4	-4.7	-5.0	-5.2
Net contribution to the EU budget⁶	8.8	10.8	9.7	8.4	9.3	9.6	9.9
Gross contribution to the EU budget⁷	13.4	14.8	13.9	12.7	14.1	14.6	15.1

¹ The GNI adjustments are subject to refunds, and also result in additional rebate (shown as rebate adjustments).
² Contributions calculated by applying a call-up rate, currently 0.3%, to a notional 1% harmonised VAT base.
³ Traditional Own Resources (TOR) consists of customs duties and sugar levies. These duties are excluded from public sector current receipts because they are collected on behalf of the EU. Customs duties include duties on agricultural products. Currently, the UK, like all Member States, retains 25% of the amount of TOR it collects to cover the costs of collection and this reduces TME in the National Accounts. This changes in our forecast to 20% in 2016 when the new Own Resources Decision is expected to come into force.
⁴ These are the expenditure transfers to EU institutions included in current AME in Table 4.26 in the November 2015 *Economic and fiscal outlook*. These are also the expenditure transfers to EU institutions included in the National Accounts.
⁵ These receipts are not netted off public sector current expenditure in the national accounts, because they are deemed to finance spending by the EU.
⁶ This table included another aggregate previously, termed 'Net payments to EU institutions', which is now identical to the 'net contribution to the EU budget', and so is no longer shown separately.
⁷ Calculated from the net contribution to the EU budget, and then excluding public sector receipts from the EU.

Source: European Commission - EU Expenditure and Revenue 2015; Office for Budget Responsibility forecasts, 2015

This page is intentionally left blank



Plymouth City Council EU Referendum Scrutiny Review
Plymouth City Council
Ballard House, West Hoe Road
Plymouth
PL1 3BJ

Email to Scrutiny@plymouth.gov.uk.

24 February 2016

Dear Sir

I offer the following submission to Plymouth City Council's EU Referendum Scrutiny Review which is offered solely from the perspective of crime, policing and community safety in the city.

Individual Member States remain responsible for ensuring internal security within their own borders but with growing and complex threats posed by continental criminality, EU members increasingly rely on the support and assistance from other countries.

As part of UK policing, Devon and Cornwall Police benefits from a number of European agencies and treaties aimed at increasing co-operation, security and intelligence sharing.

A number of such are outlined here.

Europol - the European Union's law enforcement agency. Europol's main goal is to achieve a safer Europe by assisting Member States tackle serious international crime and terrorism.

Europol assesses that the biggest security threats to EU citizens come from terrorism, international drug trafficking and money laundering, organised fraud, counterfeiting of the euro currency, and people smuggling. New dangers are also accumulating, in the form of cybercrime, trafficking in human beings, and other modern-day threats.

Eurojust - the EU's Judicial Cooperation Unit which coordinates investigations and prosecutions and improves the cooperation between Member States. Eurojust supports EU countries to make their investigations and prosecutions more effective when dealing with cross-border crime.

European Judicial Network- a network of national contacts for the facilitation of judicial cooperation in criminal matters.

Schengen Information System – This is the largest law enforcement exchange platform in Europe, containing over 62 million alerts on wanted persons and objects which led to over 128,000 police actions in 2014.

European Agenda on Security – This agreement assists the police and other law enforcement services in different Member States to share data and better cooperate against cross-border crime. Member States can rely on support by EU Agencies to improve information exchange, increase operational cooperation, and through supporting actions such as training and co-funding.

Eurodac - The EU asylum fingerprint database to address data protection concerns and to help combat terrorism and serious crime. When someone applies for asylum or is apprehended having crossed an external border, their fingerprints are transmitted and updated to the Eurodac central system within 72 hours,.

European Criminal Records Information System – This supports information exchange between EU law enforcement authorities. Approximately 100,000 messages are exchanged between national authorities each month.

European Arrest Warrant – Applied throughout the EU, the European Arrest Warrant replaced lengthy extradition procedures within the EU's territorial jurisdiction. It improves and simplifies judicial procedures designed to surrender people for the purpose of conducting a criminal prosecution or executing a custodial sentence or spell in detention.

EU countries can no longer refuse to surrender, to another EU country, their own citizens who have committed a serious crime or are suspected of having committed such a crime in another EU country, on the grounds that they are nationals

Financial Intelligence - A platform bringing together Financial Intelligence Units from the Member States is now fully in place. Its purpose is to detect and disrupt terrorist finance and money laundering activities and packages to deter money laundering. FIU's closely cooperate with EUROPOL.

Internet Referral Unit - Europol's Internet Referral Unit aims to help reduce the volume of terrorist material online.

Prüm - An information exchange tool offers automated comparison of DNA profiles, fingerprint data and vehicle registration data – which are key to detecting crime and building an effective case for prosecutions.

Border Package and Smart Borders: The Border Package to be presented before the end of the year will create a European Border Guard with much stronger obligations in terms of cooperation. The Smart Borders initiative – planned for 2016 – will provide for a much more effective EU entry/exit system permitting to trace the movements of third country nationals across the EU's external border. This information could be highly valuable for law enforcement



The EU debate is a complex matter. I do not offer an opinion on whether the UK should remain a member.

However, given the provisions set out above, I am of the view that there are clear benefits to the public and policing in Devon and Cornwall by being a member of the EU.

Tony Hogg
Police and Crime Commissioner

This page is intentionally left blank

From: George Cowcher [<mailto:George.Cowcher@devonchamber.co.uk>]
Sent: 24 February 2016 17:00
To: Scrutiny
Cc: Amanda Bishop
Subject: European Referendum

I write on behalf of the Devon Chamber of Commerce which represents more than a 1000 businesses of all sizes and sectors and over 40,000 employees. The Chamber would wish to make the following points:-

- In the last survey of Members 60% of respondents said that they preferred to stay in the European Union and 30% responded that they wanted to leave. The percentage in favour was even greater amongst those companies who trade in the European Union. The Chamber is about to survey its Members again and will have up- dates on these figures in March.
- Companies who currently receive economic support from Europe are concerned that they will suffer, unless assurances are given that a future UK Government would replace any money lost as a result of exit.
- There is a similar concern that public infrastructure currently funded by Europe will not be provided unless the UK Government gives assurances that it will replace any money lost.

Yours faithfully

George Cowcher

f [Facebook.com/chamberdevon](https://www.facebook.com/chamberdevon)
t [@Chamber_Devon](https://twitter.com/Chamber_Devon)
www.devonchamber.co.uk



This page is intentionally left blank